

Michael Jackson and His Freedom from Judgement; For that of Slanderosness:

- 1.) Take into consideration that no two such people for any two such hands; as one known 'untouchable' and one 'touchless;' as that of priorly with a white soft glove; and that of one left feather light can otherwise as so not touch as without that of another person between.
 - 2.) It is further taken into consideration that for that of the prior individual (a person) for that of two such hands; that as no two 'cross' paths, for no one can share such an item with & between two hands and at the same time (and of space for such considerations of such arms) 'cross' an item from one hand to the other of that of the latter (accused) individual.
 - 3.) It is provable that no such hands had done so as crossed between two such individuals through such as touching or exchanging such as an item so given or so as taken; for that of either such path within the world we embody; as no two people could otherwise represent either side of these (as giving and taking at once). As, now two people can share an item.
 - 4.) Hence no such judgement can or could be rightfully passed; and hence no such case of circumstance for such provisions or case structure; under such terms of established law or that of evidence can be rightfully introduced into such as a given trial and case of such accusations in plurality or singular instance of such actions (for there are therefore no such actions). This is because without evidentiary means there is no established order to the law.
 - 5.) There is no evidence, and either individual is without item; hence both are innocent; although one being guilty of slander; and hence without knowledge for that of a word; label; or item of evidence under presentment of such a case without establishment. The means to understand the difference is that of a singular awareness of an alterior motive; so as earlier.
 - 6.) **Such a person is guilty of slander and the latter accused innocent for one reason: They are one in wholeness;** without alternative; and hence have formed no choice; whether it be judged that they have taken action before awareness; as decisions of mind always come before action. As no such physical effect can come before any such action of a person or living being; within the physical world with another or before mind.
 - 7.) As a consequence it is true that either such party are to be separated to individual one's quarters; and therefore one so isolated under terms of the elimination of their means to do so to an other. The precedent however not as of yet so established; is founded on that of neighborly relation; for that of another's quarters; as we are to be so judged by our peers.
- The question should not be asked; and no such riddle is this... No one can otherwise do so. With one representation for another; inside of such as a home, it is true they remain alone.
- 8.) Another in another's quarter's is so untamperable under the system of law so established; for independent people through authority do not possess rights to those of excepting that of their private quarters; as without such means to access their home; there is no privacy; and alternatively; it is impossible that such as one has means to 'exit' from such as an 'entry' of another's home; for no means can occur without established relation; and therefore closed.