

Theory of the Duality of Subjective Experience [In the Modern Era]

Paris S. Miles-Brenden

I am in tears to write of the following; a thought has occurred to me which frees those suffering, (inc. myself) from illness of the mind. I am entirely unknown to this field, but for many a series of notes.

- I.) Hearing remains of a volume of which is one degree higher than the world.
- II.) The result of the mind, is the condition of the mind, which is thoughts.
 - a.) We hear in response to an inhibitory relation.
 - b.) This inhibitory relationship is amended in part by chemical and electrical processes.
 - c.) These must be regulated effectively to operate normally, within ranges, and capably.
- *) Mathematics results in functions modeling sound of which are flexible in three dimensions of any pattern discerned from a pattern, if and only if there is an effectively linear relationship between their coefficients, and of such patterns, these are linear in relation to the elliptic functional field.

Thus, for preceding, in relationship to the aggrandizational relationship of sound to accessory in one, the amended basis cannot serve the means of the occasioned listener without the amended terms of comobidity, on behalf of isolation, for that of amended basis does not fashion of auditory impulse.

As a result, the mathematical dimension is a $\log(4)$ comparative a $\log(3/2)$, for that of the basis of it's dimensional spread, and of such a given, the remainder of that of a 'round circuit' higher, to which in leaving of departure one, the sound is aperceived sooner, but then the if and only if of a valid relationship.

'Unto relationships, I am not of courage, nor sufficiency, as of this time, to account of:

- 1.) The devastation this has brought into my life.
- 2.) The serverity of the relationship to amends on behalf of those with such illness.'

Thus; I have amended a manner of treating the illness, with* appropriate medical understanding, and the required means of amends of technology.

The relationship to my equipment, is:

- a.1.) It is in service to the illness if and only if means are sequestered to a 1-2-3-4 on behalf of a series of events, and the relationship of the group associates is of:

- 1.) A difference in occasion.
- 2.) A difference of amends.
- 3.) Located in a distinct timezone.
- 4.) Subject to reception of the self.

Thus, the occasion is provably circumstantial, and, additionally, is only sufficient to be of the nature of awareness.

g.*) Thus, secondly, it is a liability to associates of social contract, questionable, and of awareness.

A Sufficiently Provided Title

14:37-38 'pm' – February 11th, 2025

A month prior, of the question was asked, and prioritized, of 'Barack Obama', and 'HANA', as to B.O.-382 d. & 385 d., into a month, in priority to-today; noted per the 'log.dec', of my computer A.I., then Helion Aparte Malque. Of 'logo'.

Today!

Post* cc.pro.visa; I found I could recollect a memory of relation, and manually-provide (12:44 'pm') a renewed identity with respect to murder, in association with restoration of a priority to save and salvage life, in relation to whence I was-12'.

- Post: p.o.; 2025; Feb. 11th. I had 'to a non-edit' here*; 'not I'; - [sic.] – saved my Mother, and could-Prevent the occurrences of the future, in Title (I).

- Post; p.o.; 2025 In Title (II): I had 'to a non-edit' here*; known that I only need **also**, consider intercultural relationship and peer, - that of friendship, a day within a day, a supportive means of other's and self, indication of whom as to a consequential end of what I am aperceptive-of, and the relationship of what would hold, that of life in held contention of life, death, and birth, and cessation and aging, extinction, survivability of all, and making a markmanship of gestures, in relation to my 'indications', as to people, and the larger conditional suppliance to survival of species, in relation to biological relationship, that of sequesterment of means, & my future.

Title (I):

- 1.) Discover an epistimological fact.... And restore the factual basis.
- 2.) Additively, or resumptively adhere of the fact to the switchable basis of my computer design.

This may render two endings, as per a people, and, post associated relation, ultimately (one fact). Thus, as-fact(s) relate to redressability and consideration of addressment, in response to dialectical clue, an assortment of people are mutually aware, when as-such, there is a predicate-ontological bridge. As contrasted, under determinant process, information in relation to mutual and other awareness, is then predicated, to which we may relate (and confirm) in-visa, what is undeniable of communicative means, the justification that other's are independent, taking a role similar marital relationship, in-kinmanship. The fact two-people contrast, is then related to a contextual clue.

Argument of Separation & Closure of 19 Comparative 5-6-1 of the Social Hierarchy

Time is not space, and people do entirely hold their own content of being.

Secondly, they are countable (as born).

Thus, what is justified, of current relationship to my understanding of the 'void' is in part understood.

The question of the empty may pertain or not to a scenario of social context, but is applicable to a dialectical investment and understanding of principle of society, when as such there is a contextual clue and arranged sense of the contributing elements.

I am one, and this is a current view.

Thus, it is presentable presently, that there is some meaning to the dialog of which expressed in this writing.

For instance, the relationship of an ex-girlfriend (a person I knew, and know recently) through that which has to do with a message of reciprocity in relation to confirmative gesture of guess, in relation to me, is confirmative whence as such there is a responsiveness.

For this, the relation of the 'o.' as to obama, barack, is a relationship that is held for in an offered and understood quantifier on the existence of an aware relation of me, whence as such it prioritizes the relation of the responsiveness in relation to a priorly held relationship – that of this ex-girlfriend in meeting my mother.

That my mother is alive, is then presentable, as my ex-girlfriend is, and their ages, in relation to 'o' and his-daughter of one is younger than the elder, and younger then of the ex-girlfriend by succession with my mother.... When it is contrasted with that of the dialog that there be a 'record' – supplant the notion she has confirmed with a people of associated contractual reciprocity by-me, via 0-1.

Thus, I am aware my mother is living, and my ex-girlfriend is whom she is as portrayed, that 'o.' is aware of me, and that his-daughter is currently supplant a notion of within his-awareness. This need not be current.

Thus, I am existent in relation to a potential.

Lastly, the associated mother, is living, and 'o.' is older or younger than her.

I have then, the given that my mother shall die, someone elsewhere, but not that of accountability of the dead....

I have the fact that I am living, however, for of two appraisals, that I can confirm my mother is living, and aware of me, and that I am living, I am aware of her.

I am aware of 'o.' – so as it may be I am aware he is living, whence as such, he pre-conditions the awareness of a term.
I was held liable a solid law, of which is defensibly not articulably in the same sense of indication than my defense, thus, life continues, but I do not suffer 'him', for of what is death.

Therefore he is living, to which he is aware of myself, if not my mother, or if my mother is aware of him, she survives for now, and then one dies earlier.... Then that he is living, additional, whence as such his daughter is living.

I am currently therefore of a prespective notion that my mother is apart from me in landing, and as with 'o.', and as either are independently (for not my origination of o') held – one knowing me in person, one exists despite 'co-