

My Final Theorem Regarding the Limitations of Physics in the 21st Century.

2 messages

Paris S. Miles-Brenden <paris.smb.0@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 23, 2024 at 4:31 AM

To: "Paris S. Miles-Brenden" <milieu.heart@gmail.com>, ohhdl@dalailama.com

"Any descriptive equative means of electromagnetism and gravitation for which a complimentary $(\hat{\omega})$ an element of $(\bar{\Omega} \cup \xi(\eta_{\mu}))$ which does not proceed from a complimentary $(\Omega = \{K_n\}(\omega^{in}))$ is inconclusive in relation to it's (inherent) plausibility of a repetitional theorem of observation and measureable basis by a sentient observational process reducible to an axiom of a consistent physical theory, for that of $\chi \rightarrow \xi_{\Omega}$, the 'cover' of the range of limitation of all conceivable theorems of reduction from knowledge, measurement, and unto which these terms are conveyable in finite form with any conceivable alphabet."

Thus, Ω , is a system amended as defined in relation to element(s) of some 'ranges' of real*-identity and projection of meaning, while the ω are the expressions of the system, for which are quantifiable expressions of the system of the universe of a system of knowledge, and K , is a 'finite' expectation of a 'JCT-bounded' pathwise connected system of domain of application of theorem. For that of η_{μ} , this is the geometric weight, while that of 'n and i' are indices in 'real and passive projective relation of any 'uncountable or countable manifold with a category', - a Grassmannian 'cone'.

Thus χ is a projection or operator 'arrow in a Category' to a foundation of the element(s) ξ , of which is a pointer.... in relation to the categorical set of acknowledgeable actualizations of optimal system 'state and functional basis' - in short 'indication of an evidentiary'.

Thus, for what is analytic, in relation to comprehensive discovery, that of the unobservable 'compliment' is not a finite or infinitely recursive statement of a system of reduction to a basis finitely conveyable in a system of knowledge for which we may refute a theory or prove a theorem, and is a 'standard' of a different system of scientific study. Thus, comprehension of what is misunderstood is a verifiable byproduct and direct conclusion of incomprehensibility, in relation to certain assumptives derived from experience and that of interrelationship of systems of agency, society, people, ethic, moral, and bounded empowerment, to which is a symbolic placeholder for execution of an activation of peripheral or symbiotic empathy of partnerships, to which is learned, and conveyable.

This much is universally an operative 'principle' of science to an idealized relation of orbital boundedness.

Paris (s.) Miles-Brenden

Paris S. Miles-Brenden <paris.smb.0@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 23, 2024 at 4:41 AM

To: "Paris S. Miles-Brenden" <milieu.heart@gmail.com>, ohhdl@dalailama.com, avb@nordita.org, Jake Knudsen <jake.knudsen@gmail.com>

Thus, 'color' and 'white/black' are inconclusive in relation under a combinatorial process as-in relation to a process of mixing and unmixing (unblended separability and shared composition) in relation to scientific process and-in relation to a conclusive basis of proof/disproof and it's refutation of the undecidability or decidability of an element of a system of expression, in relation to 'reproducibility of process of investigatory process of theoretical confirmation'.

Paris

[Quoted text hidden]