



Paris S. Miles-Brenden <paris.smb.0@gmail.com>

Update II - limit of theorem....

1 message

Paris S. Miles-Brenden <paris.smb.0@gmail.com>
To: avb@nordita.org

Fri, Aug 23, 2024 at 3:09 AM

The comprehension of superconductivity [a theorem, and reciprocal observation and measureable process of confirmation of theory unto a 'recipe' and master theorem'] - is therefore a limitation of the model of science, chronology, cause, and effect, resultant, and expression, and engineering, and information in relation to mathematical expression; to the design of universal and finite process.

This limitation is the result of the Weiner like filter model, and that of the taxonomic process of reduction of a mono/singular foundational basis, and is a current limitation of societal needs in relation to this era.

It is simply implausible that a one dimensional argumentative basis can singly support a four and part finite solid crystallographic process to the forms involved in the basis of conditioned combinatorial process, for that of tension state.

The Weiner filter is additionally stochastic, thus, requiring as I have read in 'Adaptive Filter's' - a book, *a priori knowledge*.

This knowledge is ad infinitum in absurdity reducible to a theorem related to The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, thus for the sake of transcendental and regular functions, there is no functional group for the forms of the finite covariance of the field quantifier, for that of the conceivable Minimal-O theorem(s), although of group structure. Secondly and initially, at-each rung, the limit theorem of the regularization procedure fails, the only resulting conclusion is that this state is an indication of an emergence of a strange like attractor point of mixed homology and homotopy..... where there are unlimited natures of solution.

The result is a viable end to a mathematical axiom of choice to the alternative demand of a description in closed form, for that of which in-selection of a hidden and to be revealed or constructable element (contrawise between individuals or to the life or loss of a withheld law) - is unobservational at the point it is hypothesized to exist. This is not to be confused with the reversal of subjective and objective viewpoint, it is a fundamental limitation of the cartesian duality, but for the world, of which the self is free of identity in relation to their conception of objective existence.

Thus, we are violated for in what of the system of knowledge we intend to conform to.

This is ironic, but a validity of godelian emptiness in relation to chemistry, that of experimental and verifiable science under terms of repeatability of experiment and result, and that of control-diagnostic.

Secondly, the result is therefore not unpredictable.

I considered that the 'neutral-statistical-limit of a redshifted point' may indeed shift the pathology of the relation of chaos to a 'window' of phenomenological subjective and objective inversion of field/particle, for that of redshift, in the view of a limit of the band of the elliptics, in relation to the sound, and charge, and spin, for that of the orchestration of element(s), but, it is only classically a resolvent for the result of a variation to yang mills mass gap in the view of soliton. These are useful approaches, but without a theory of language of mathematics sophisticated sufficiently for artificially intelligent design of materials, I foresee we will not succeed in it's quantifier, in the present era.

Lastly, this is the prediction of inherence of a dispute theorem to the collapse of a power/locale symbiosis in the interests of humanitarian value.

All this provokes a lot of questions. I found as a piece (shaped) - the mind cannot become the element of a process of bringing a material to form.

Paris Miles-Brenden

I did not lose my mind. There is only one final caveat, - we can indeed mutually operate for that of a design of depth and algorithm in a mutual safety and key symbiosis, to release mutually our coadoptive means, through the relation of a phenomenological engine in study of incompleteness, and o theory, in relation to pseudoprobability.